

# Verification Using Weakest Precondition Strategy

## *Extended Abstract*

Nikolaj Popov\*

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation,  
4232 Hagenberg, Austria  
popov@risc.uni-linz.ac.at

**Abstract.** We describe the *weakest precondition strategy* for verifying programs. This is a method which takes a specification and an annotated program and generates so-called *verification conditions*: mathematical lemmata which have to be proved in order to obtain a formal correctness proof for the program with respect to its specification. There are rules for generating the *intermediate* pre- and post- conditions algorithmically. Based on these rules, we have developed a package for generating *verification conditions*.

This work is supported by FWF (Austrian National Science Foundation) – SFB project F1302.

## 1 Weakest Preconditions and Predicate Transformation

We have seen [Kovacs03] a verification method using inference rules of Hoare Logic. However, there exists another strategy for program verification using the so-called "weakest precondition predicate transformer" (*wp*) developed by E. W. Dijkstra [Dijkstra76]. This approach is also based on Hoare Logic.

Let us assume we want to verify a program where we know the postcondition but *not* the precondition:

$$\{?\}S\{R\}$$

In general, there could be arbitrarily many preconditions  $Q$  which are valid for the program  $S$  and a postcondition  $R$ . However, there is precisely one precondition describing the *maximal* set of possible initial states such that the execution of  $S$  leads to a state satisfying  $R$ . This  $Q$  is called the *weakest precondition*. (A condition  $Q$  is *weaker* than  $P$  iff  $P \Rightarrow Q$ .)

## 2 How It Works

We are given a program  $s_1; s_2; \dots; s_n$  with precondition  $\{P\}$  and postcondition  $\{R\}$ . We want to verify this program by the *weakest precondition strategy*. Starting from  $s_n$  and  $\{R\}$ , we produce (algorithmically)  $\{P_{n-1}\}$  and accumulate some lemmata, the so-called *verification conditions*. Here  $\{P_{n-1}\}$  is the *weakest precondition* for the statement  $s_n$ , and  $\{P_{n-1}\}$  now becomes the *postcondition* for  $s_{n-1}$ . Then we take  $s_{n-1}$  and  $\{P_{n-1}\}$ , and ...

$$\begin{array}{c} \{P\} s_1; s_2; \dots; s_n \{R\} \\ \\ \{P\} \\ \{P_0\} \\ s_1; \\ \{P_1\} \\ s_2; \\ \dots \\ s_{n-1}; \\ \{P_{n-1}\} \\ s_n \\ \{R\} \end{array}$$

Finally we produce  $\{P_0\}$ , and we have accumulated a list of lemmata. What remains is to prove the lemmata and also  $P \Rightarrow P_0$ . If we succeed to prove this, we can be sure that the program  $s_1; s_2; \dots; s_n$  *meets* its specification.

### 2.1 Simple Example

**Given:**

A program  $S: y := x * x$   
A postcondition  $R: y \geq 4$

**Find:**

The weakest precondition  $Q$ .

**Solution:**

$Q: (x \leq -2) \vee (x \geq 2)$ .

The precondition  $x \geq 2$  would also guarantee that  $R$  is valid after execution. Even stronger preconditions like  $x \geq 3$ ,  $x = 3$ , etc. would be valid preconditions as well. However, the weakest precondition is  $Q: (x \leq -2) \vee (x \geq 2)$ .

The weakest precondition of a program  $S$  and a postcondition  $R$  is denoted by

$$wp(S, R)$$

and is a predicate which describes the set of all initial states that will guarantee termination of  $S$  in a state satisfying  $R$ . This can also be expressed as a Hoare Triple:

$$\{wp(S, R)\} S \{R\}$$

So if one wants to verify  $\{Q\} S \{R\}$  using  $wp$ , one can first determine  $wp(S, R)$  and then prove

$$Q \Rightarrow wp(S, R).$$

For a fixed statement  $S$ , the function  $wp$  can be viewed as a function taking only a predicate (the postcondition) and returning another predicate (the weakest precondition). Therefore  $wp$  is also called a *predicate transformer*. More on predicate transformation can be found in [Dijkstra76].

For certain statements (e.g. *WHILE*), one also needs to generate *verification conditions*. These are mathematical lemmata, which have to be proved additionally. Moreover, fully automatic verification of *WHILE* statements is very difficult in general [Futschek89]. Therefore, in practice we need to supply a *loop invariant* and a *termination term* (see the example below).

## 2.2 While Example

### Given:

A program  $S$ : *WHILE*  $[y \leq r,$   
 $r := r - y; q := q + 1,$   
*Invariant*  $\rightarrow (x = r + y * q)$   
*TerminationTerm*  $\rightarrow (r - y)]$   
 A postcondition  $R$ :  $\{x = r + y * q \wedge r < y\}$

### Find:

The weakest precondition  $Q$ .

### Solution:

$Q: (x = r + y * q)$   
*Accumulated Verification Conditions:*  
 $(x = r + y * q) \wedge \neg (y \leq r) \Rightarrow (x = r + y * q) \wedge r < y$   
 $(x = r + y * q) \wedge y \leq r \wedge (r = T1) \Rightarrow$   
 $(x = (r - y) + y * (q + 1)) \wedge (r - y) < T1$   
 $(x = r + y * q) \wedge y \leq r \Rightarrow r - y \geq 0$

One can see that the above formulae are provable in the theory of integers, under the condition:  $y > 0$ .

### 3 What We Have Available

In our system *Theorema*, we have developed a package for generating *verification conditions* [Kirchner99]. They are generated in such a way that they are syntactically acceptable for the available provers.

The Extended Predicate Transformer *EPT* is a function which takes a statement and a postcondition. It returns two "data structures": the precondition (the transformed postcondition) and a list of verification conditions.

$$EPT : \langle stat, post \rangle \mapsto \langle pre, vcList \rangle$$

The Verification Condition Generator *VCG* is a function which takes a program and its specification and returns a list of verification conditions.

$$VCG : \langle program, specification \rangle \mapsto \{lemmata\}$$

The list of verification conditions is transformed into a *Theorema* formula list. Parameter variables (from the interface definition) and local variables occur as free variables in these formulae. In the last step of processing the formula list is turned into a *Theorema* Lemma where these variables are universally quantified. This job is done by a function called Verification Condition Generator *VCG*, which takes an annotated program with pre- and postcondition and returns a list of verification conditions.

$$\text{Annotated Program} \xrightarrow{EPT} \text{Verification Conditions} \xrightarrow{VCG} \text{Lemmata} \xrightarrow{\text{Prover}} \text{Proof}$$

### References

[Dijkstra76] E.W Dijkstra. *A Discipline of Programming*. Prentice-Hall, 1976.

[Kirchner99] M. Kirchner. *Program Verification with the Mathematical Software System Theorema*. Diploma Thesis, Fachhochschule Hagenberg, 1999.

[Futschek89] Gerald Futschek. *Programmentwicklung und Verifikation*. Springer Verlag Wien New York, 1989.

[Kovacs03] L. Kovacs, *Program Verification using Hoare Logic*. Talk at CaVIS-2003, Timisoara, Romania, 2003.