

SIMPLIFYING SUMS IN $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -EXTENSIONS

CARSTEN SCHNEIDER

ABSTRACT. We present algorithms which split a rational expression in terms of indefinite nested sums and products into a summable part which can be summed by telescoping and into a non-summable part which is degree-optimal with respect to one of the most nested sums or products. If possible, our algorithms find a non-summable part where all these most nested sums and products are eliminated.

1. INTRODUCTION

Indefinite summation can be described by the following telescoping problem: *Given* f where f belongs to some domain of sequences \mathbb{E} , *find* $g \in \mathbb{E}$ such that

$$f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k). \tag{1}$$

Then given such a solution g , we get the closed form evaluation

$$\sum_{k=a}^b f(k) = g(b+1) - g(a),$$

i.e., the sum $\sum_{k=a}^b f(k)$ can be simplified in terms of the sequences given in \mathbb{E} . E.g., there are algorithms for the rational case, see [Abr71], for hypergeometric terms, see [Gos78, PS95], for q -hypergeometric terms, see [Koo93, PR97], or more generally, for $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -terms, see [Kar81, Sch04b]. Here arbitrarily nested indefinite sums and products are represented in the difference field setting of $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -fields. Typical examples of such sums and products are d'Alembertian solutions [AP94, Sch01], a subclass of Liouvillian solutions [HS99] of linear recurrences.

We consider the following refined problem: *Given* $f \in \mathbb{E}$, *find* $(f', g) \in \mathbb{E}^2$ such that

$$f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k) + f'(k) \tag{2}$$

where f' is as “small” as possible. Since we consider $f' = 0$ as the “smallest” possible choice, f' is also called the non-summable part. Then given such a solution (f', g) , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=a}^b f(k) = g(b+1) - g(a) + \sum_{k=a}^b f'(k),$$

i.e., the sum $\sum_{k=a}^b f(k)$ can be simplified in terms of the sequences given in \mathbb{E} and by the sum $\sum_{k=a}^b f'(k)$. In a nutshell, one tries to solve the classical telescoping problem in \mathbb{E} ($f' = 0$), and if this is not possible, tries to keep the non-summable part $f'(k)$ as small as possible.

For the rational case this refined telescoping approach has been considered in [Abr75]; here the minimality of f' is defined by the degree of the denominator polynomial. Theoretical inside and different algorithms have been derived in [Pau95].

For the $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -field case the following variation has been considered in [Sch04c, Sch05b]: find a summand $f'(k)$ where the depth of the nested sums and products is optimal.

Based on the algorithmic theory given in [Kar81] we shall develop a framework which combines both versions: choose one of the most nested sums or products in $f(k)$ and find $f'(k)$ such that the degrees of its polynomial and fractional part are optimal w.r.t. to the

Supported by the SFB-grant F1305 and the grant P16613-N12 of the Austrian FWF.

selected sum or product. Applying this strategy recursively, we can eliminate, if possible, all such most nested sums and products in $f'(k)$. Typical examples are

$$\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{-kH_k^5 + H_k^4 - kH_k + 2}{H_k - kH_k^2} = (n+1)H_n^3 - (2n+1)\left(\frac{3}{2}H_n^2 - 3H_n + \frac{3}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{H_n} + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k^2 + H_k}{k^2 H_k}, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{x}{i} \right)^2 = (x-n) \binom{x}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{x}{i} - \frac{x-2n-2}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{x}{i} \right)^2 - \frac{x}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{x}{k}^2, \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} H_k^2 H_k^{(2)} = -\frac{H_n^3}{3} + \left(nH_n^{(2)} + 1\right) H_n^2 + (2n+1) \left(H_n^{(2)} - H_n^{(2)} H_n\right) - 2H_n + \frac{1}{3} H_n^{(3)} \quad (5)$$

where $H_k = \sum_{j=1}^k 1/j$ denote the harmonic numbers and $H_k^{(r)} = \sum_{j=1}^k 1/j^r$, $r > 1$, are its generalized versions. In (3) we simplify the sum on the left-hand side by finding $f'(k) = \frac{k^2 + H_k}{k^2 H_k}$ where the degrees w.r.t H_k are optimal. Moreover, in (4), which is a generalized version from [AP99, Page 9], we compute $f'(k) = \binom{n}{k}^2$ which is free of $\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i}$. In (5) we simplify the sum on the left-hand side by finding $f'(k) = \frac{1}{k^3}$ which is free of H_k and $H_k^{(2)}$.

The algorithms under consideration are illustrated by various concrete examples; some of them pop up in [Zha99, PS03, Sch04b, DPSW05]. All these ideas are implemented in the summation package *Sigma* [Sch04b].

The general structure is as follows. In Section 2 we formulate the refined telescoping problem *RT* in difference fields and supplement it by examples. In Section 3 we split problem *RT* in the two subproblems *PP* and *RP* which we solve in Sections 4 and 5. Using these results, we get an algorithm which can eliminate, if possible, all the extensions which are most nested, see Section 6. In Section 7 we show how problem (2) is related to the theory of $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extensions.

2. THE PROBLEM IN $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -EXTENSIONS

We describe the domain of sequences \mathbb{E} in problem (2) by *difference fields*, i.e., by a field \mathbb{E} and a field automorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$; in short we write (\mathbb{E}, σ) . All fields in this paper are understood as having characteristic 0. The *constant field* of (\mathbb{E}, σ) is defined by $\mathbb{K} := \{c \in \mathbb{E} \mid \sigma(c) = c\}$. It is easy to see that \mathbb{K} is a subfield of \mathbb{E} ; this implies that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$. Then problem (2) can be formulated as follows. Given $f \in \mathbb{E}$, find $(f', g) \in \mathbb{E}^2$ such that

$$\sigma(g) - g + f' = f \quad (6)$$

where f' is as simple as possible. We call $(f', g) \in \mathbb{E}$ a Σ -pair for f if it fulfills (6).

Subsequently, we restrict to difference fields which can be obtained by certain *difference field extensions* called $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extensions. A difference field (\mathbb{E}, σ) is called a *difference field extension* of (\mathbb{F}, σ') , if \mathbb{F} is a sub-field of \mathbb{E} and $\sigma|_{\mathbb{F}} = \sigma'$ (since σ and σ' agree on \mathbb{F} , we do not distinguish them anymore). A difference field extension (\mathbb{E}, σ) of (\mathbb{F}, σ) is called a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension, if $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}(t)$ is a rational function field extension, the field automorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is extended to $\sigma : \mathbb{F}(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(t)$ by $\sigma(t) = at$ or $\sigma(t) = t + a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}^*$, and the constant field remains unchanged, i.e., $\text{const}_{\sigma} \mathbb{F}(t) = \text{const}_{\sigma} \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{K}$. If $\sigma(t) = at$, we call the extension also a Π -extension; if $\sigma(t) = t + a$, we call it a Σ^* -extension.

Remark 2.1. Note that there are decision procedures which enable one to test if a given extension is a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension. For Σ^* -extensions we refer to Section 7. For general Π -extensions we refer to [Kar81]. Here we mention only that a hypergeometric term, like $\binom{n}{k}$ or $k!$, can be always represented by a Π -extension; only objects like $(-1)^k$ cannot be handled, see [Sch05c].

For such a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ of (\mathbb{F}, σ) we are interested in the following problem:

RT: Refined Telescoping with optimal degree

Given $f \in \mathbb{F}(t)$; **find** a Σ -pair (f', g) for f where among the possible f' with

$$f' = p + \frac{q}{d} \quad \text{where } p, q, d \in \mathbb{F}[t], \text{ and } \deg(q) < \deg(d) \quad (7)$$

the degree of d and the degree of p are minimal; we set $\deg(0) = -\infty$.

Remark. (1) The constraint that $\deg(p)$ is minimal does not restrict the constraint that $\deg(d)$ is minimal and vice versa. For further explanations we refer to Section 3.

(2) In [Sch05c] we consider the analogue problem for products: given $f \in \mathbb{F}(t)$, find $(f', g) \in \mathbb{F}(t)^2$ with $\frac{\sigma(g)}{g} f' = f$ where the degrees of the numerator and denominator of f' are minimal.

In this article we develop algorithms for problem *RT* where \mathbb{F} is a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -field. This means that we start with the constant field \mathbb{K} and adjoin step by step either a Π - or a Σ^* -extension t_i on top. Following [Kar81] we call such a tower of $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extensions $\mathbb{K}(t_1) \dots (t_e)$ a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -field. Usually, one chooses for t in *RT* a sum or product which is most nested.

We illustrate problem *RT* by various concrete examples. In Examples 2.1–2.5 we focus on the problem to obtain non-summable parts where the degree of p is reduced. In Examples 2.6–2.9 we compute non-summable parts where the degree of d reduced. In Example 2.10 (see identity (5)) we compute a non-summable part where the degrees in p and d are optimal.

Example 2.1. Consider the rational case, i.e., take the difference field $(\mathbb{K}(k), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(k) = k+1$ and $\text{const}_\sigma \mathbb{K}(k) = \mathbb{K}$; note that this is a Σ^* -extension of $(\mathbb{K}(k), \sigma)$. Then for any $f \in \mathbb{K}[k]$ we can compute a $g \in \mathbb{K}[k]$ with $\sigma(g) - g = f$; see e.g. [GKP94, (6.10), (6.11), (2.45)]. For the q -rational case we have the same result: Take the constant field $\mathbb{K}(q)$ with a parameter q and consider the $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension $(\mathbb{K}(q)(P), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(P) = qP$. Then we can find for any $f \in \mathbb{K}(q)[P]$ a $g \in \mathbb{K}(q)[P]$ with $\sigma(g) - g = f$; note that $(0, P^i/(q-1))$ is a Σ -pair for P^i .

Example 2.2. Given $\sum_{k=1}^n H_k^4$, we derive the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^n H_k^4 = H_n^2 ((n+1)H_n^2 - 2(2n+1)H_n + 12n) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{12k^2 - 8k - 1 - 2kH_k(12k^2 - 6k - 1)}{k^3} \quad (8)$$

as follows. Take the difference field $(\mathbb{Q}(k), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(k) = k+1$, and extend it with the Σ^* -extension $(\mathbb{Q}(k)(H), \sigma)$ where $\sigma(H) = H + \frac{1}{k+1}$. Note that the shift of H_k in k is reflected by the automorphism σ acting on H . Then we compute the Σ -pair $(f', g) = \left(\frac{12k^2 - 8k - 1 - 2kH(12k^2 - 6k - 1)}{k^3}, \frac{(Hk-1)^2((H^2 - 4H + 12)k^2 - 8k - 1)}{k^3} \right)$ for $f = H^4$; for further details see

Example 4.2. This delivers (2) with $f(k) = H_k^4$, $f'(k) = \frac{12k^2 - 8k - 1 - 2kH_k(12k^2 - 6k - 1)}{k^3}$ and $g(k) = \frac{(H_k k - 1)^2((H_k^2 - 4H_k + 12)k^2 - 8k - 1)}{k^3}$. Summing (2) over k gives (8). Note that $\sum_{k=1}^n f'(k) = 12 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_k}{k} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_k}{k^2} - 24 \sum_{k=1}^n H_k + 12H_n - 8H_n^{(2)} - H_n^{(3)}$. With the identities $\sum_{k=1}^n H_k = H_n(n+1) - n$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_k}{k} = H_n^2 + H_n^{(2)}$, which we can also find with our machinery, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^n H_k^4 = (n+1)H_n^4 - (2n+1)(2H_n^3 - 6H_n^2 + 12H_n) + 24n - H_n^{(3)} - 2H_n^{(2)} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_k}{k^2}. \quad (9)$$

Example 2.3. Given $\sum_{k=1}^n H_k^3$, we take $(\mathbb{Q}(k)(H), \sigma)$ from Example 2.2 and compute the Σ -pair $(f', g) = \left(-\frac{12k^2 - 6k - 1}{2k^2}, \frac{(Hk-1)(2H^2 k^2 - 6Hk^2 + 12k^2 - Hk - 6k - 1)}{2k^2} \right)$ for H^3 ; see Example 4.6. Summing the result over k and using $\sum_{k=1}^n -\frac{12k^2 - 6k - 1}{2k^2} = \frac{1}{2}(-12n + 6H_n + H_n^{(2)})$ gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^n H_k^3 = \frac{1}{2} \left(2(n+1)H_n^3 - 3(2n+1)H_n^2 + 6(2n+1)H_n - 12n + H_n^{(2)} \right). \quad (10)$$

Example 2.4. We find (4), a generalization given in [AP99, Page 9], as follows. Take the difference field $(\mathbb{Q}(x)(k), \sigma)$ with constant field $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ and $\sigma(k) = k + 1$ and extend it with the Π -extension $(\mathbb{Q}(x)(k)(B), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(B) = \frac{x-k}{k+1}B$. Afterwards, extend it with the Σ^* -extension $(\mathbb{Q}(x)(k)(B)(S), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(S) = S + \sigma(B)$; note that the shift of $\binom{x}{k}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{x}{i}$ in k is reflected by the automorphism σ acting on B and S . Then we compute the Σ -pair $(f', g) = (-\frac{x}{2}B^2, -\frac{1}{2}(B - S)(xB + (2k - x)S))$ for $f = S^2$. This gives $f'(k) = -\frac{x}{2}\binom{x}{k}^2$ and $g(k) = -\frac{1}{2}(\binom{x}{k} - \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{x}{i})(x\binom{x}{k} + (2k - x)\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{x}{i})$ for (2). Summing (2) over k gives (4). With the same mechanism we find the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \left(\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{x}{j} \right)^2 &= (-1)^n \left(2(x-n) \binom{x}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{x}{j} + x \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{x}{j} \right)^2 \right) - \sum_{k=0}^n (x-2k) \binom{x}{k}^2 (-1)^k, \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p(k)}{(1-3k)^2(2-3k)^2(1-2k)^2k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{108j^3-153j^2+68j-10}{j(2j-1)(3j-2)(3j-1)} &= 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{108j^3-153j^2+68j-10}{j(2j-1)(3j-2)(3j-1)} \right)^2 + \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{289656k^7-842886k^6+1001583k^5-622368k^4+213418k^3-38207k^2+2720k+20}{k^2(2k-1)^2(3k-2)^2(3k-1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

where $p(k) = (-289656k^7 + 819558k^6 - 935487k^5 + 546174k^4 - 167482k^3 + 22839k^2 + 4(1944k^6 - 5670k^5 + 6759k^4 - 4221k^3 + 1460k^2 - 266k + 20)k - 220)$. The first identity is a generalization given in [Zha99]. Note that in this identity $(-1)^k$ occurs which cannot be expressed in $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extensions; see Remark 2.1 – nevertheless the machinery under consideration can be adapted for this case, see Section 8. The second identity has been used in [DPSW05].

Example 2.5. For (5) we take $(\mathbb{Q}(k)(H^{(2)})(H), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(k) = k + 1$, $\sigma(H^{(2)}) = H^{(2)} + \frac{1}{(k+1)^2}$ and $\sigma(H) = H + \frac{1}{k+1}$, and compute the Σ -pair $(-\frac{6k^2-3k-1}{3k^3}, -\frac{H^3}{3} + (H^{(2)}k + 1)H^2 - H^{(2)}(2k + 1)H + \frac{6H^{(2)}k^4-6k^2+3k+1}{3k^3})$ for $f = H^2H^{(2)}$; see Example 6.1. This gives (5).

Example 2.6. In [Sch04b, Page 381] we needed the simplification

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k+1}{k(k+2)} = -\frac{n(3n+5)}{4(n+1)(n+2)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}. \quad (11)$$

Given $(\mathbb{Q}(k), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(k) = k + 1$, we can use any of the algorithms from [Abr75, Pau95] to compute the Σ -pair $(f', g) = (\frac{1}{k}, \frac{2k+1}{2k(k+1)})$ for $f = \frac{k+1}{k(k+2)}$; in Example 5.5 we will apply our generalized method. Then summing (2) over k yields (11).

Example 2.7. In order to find the identity $\sum_{j=0}^n jH_j \binom{n}{j} = -\frac{1}{2} + 2^{n-1}(1 + nH_n - n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j2^j})$ in [PS03, Page 370] we needed the identity

$$\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k(k-1)2^k} = -\frac{1}{n2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k2^k}. \quad (12)$$

Extend $(\mathbb{Q}(k), \sigma)$ with the Π -extension $(\mathbb{Q}(k)(P), \sigma)$ where $\sigma(P) = 2P$, and compute the Σ -pair $(-\frac{1}{2kP}, \frac{-1}{(k-1)P})$ for $\frac{1}{(k-1)kP}$; see Example 5.6. This produces (2) with $f(k) = \frac{1}{(k-1)kP}$, $f'(k) = -\frac{1}{2k2^k}$ and $g(k) = \frac{-1}{(k-1)2^k}$. Summing (2) over k gives (12).

Example 2.8. We find the right-hand side of

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k! (k^2 + k + k! (k(k+1)^2 + k! (k(k+1)^2 + (2k^2 - 1)k! - 3) - 2) + 1)}{(k!)^3(k!+1)((k+1)k!+1)} \\ = \frac{3(n+1)(n!)^3 + (3-2n)(n!)^2 - 2(n+2)n! - 2}{2(n!)^2((n+1)n!+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k(k!)^3 + k! + 1}{(k!)^3(k!+1)} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

as follows. Take the Π -extension $(\mathbb{Q}(k)(F), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(F) = (k+1)F$ and represent the summand with $f = \frac{F(k^2+k+F(k(k+1)^2+F(k(k+1)^2+F(2k^2-1)-3)-2)+1)+1}{F^3(F+1)(kF+F+1)}$. Then we compute the Σ -pair $(f', g) = \left\{ \frac{kF^3+F+1}{F^3(F+1)}, -\frac{kF^2-F^2+k^2F+kF+k^2}{F^2(F+1)} \right\}$ for f ; the details can be found in Examples 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, and 5.8. Reinterpreting (f', g) in terms of $k!$ gives the closed form (13).

Example 2.9. Starting with the left-hand side of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(k+1)(k(k+1)^2(k+2)H_k^3 + k(3k^2+8k+5)H_k^2 - (k+2)H_k - k - 2)}{H_k(k(k+1)^2(k+2)H_k^3 + 2(k^3+2k^2-1)H_k^2 - (k^2+5k+5)H_k - 2k-3)} \\ &= \frac{-6(n+1)(n+2)H_n^2 - 6(2n+3)H_n + 11(n+1)(n+2)}{11H_n(2n+(n+1)(n+2)H_n+3)} + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k(k+1)}{kH_k-1} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

we take the difference field $(\mathbb{Q}(k)(H), \sigma)$ from Example 2.2 and compute the Σ -pair $(f', g) = \left(\frac{k(k+1)}{Hk-1}, \frac{k(k+1)}{(Hk-1)(kH+H+1)} \right)$ for $f = \frac{(k+1)(k(k+1)^2(k+2)H^3 + k(3k^2+8k+5)H^2 - (k+2)H - k - 2)}{H(k(k+1)^2(k+2)H^3 + 2(k^3+2k^2-1)H^2 - (k^2+5k+5)H - 2k-3)}$; see Example 5.9. This gives the right hand side of (14).

Example 2.10. We derive identity (3) as follows. Take $(\mathbb{Q}(k)(H), \sigma)$ from Example 2.2 and compute the Σ -pair $(f', g) = \left(-\frac{12Hk^2-2k^2-6Hk-H}{2Hk^2}, kH^3 - \frac{3}{2}(2k+1)H^2 + 6kH + \frac{3}{k} + \frac{k}{Hk-1} + \frac{1}{2k^2} - 6 \right)$ for $f = \frac{-kH^5+H^4-kH+2}{H-H^2k}$; see Example 3.1. This produces (3).

The following simple facts are heavily used throughout this article.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (\mathbb{F}, σ) be a difference field.*

- (1) *If $(f'_i, g_i) \in \mathbb{F}^2$ are Σ -pairs for $f_i \in \mathbb{F}$, $(f'_0 + f'_1, g_0 + g_1)$ is a Σ -pair for $f_0 + f_1$.*
- (2) *If $(f', g) \in \mathbb{F}^2$ is a Σ -pair for f and $(\phi, \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}^2$ is a Σ -pair for f' , $(\phi, \gamma + g)$ is one for f .*
- (3) *Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in \mathbb{F}$. Then (f, g) is a Σ -pair for $\sigma^i(f)$ where $g = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma^j(f)$ if $i \geq 0$, and $g = -\sum_{j=0}^{-i-1} \sigma^{j+i}(f)$ if $i < 0$.*

Proof. (1) and (2) are obvious. Take f, f', g from (3). If $i \geq 0$, $\sigma(g) - g = \sum_{j=1}^i \sigma^j(h) - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma^j(h) = \sigma^i(h) - h$. If $i < 0$, $\sigma(g) - g = \sum_{j=0}^{-i-1} \sigma^{j+i}(f) - \sum_{j=1}^{-i} \sigma^{j+i}(f) = \sigma^i(f) - f$. \square

3. PROBLEM REDUCTION

Subsequently, let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) , $\mathbb{K} = \text{const}_\sigma \mathbb{F}$, and $f \in \mathbb{F}(t)$. By polynomial division we get $f = f_0 + f_1$ with $f_0 \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ and $f_1 \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ where

$$\mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)} := \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}[t] \text{ and } \deg(a) < \deg(b) \right\}.$$

In short we write $f = f_0 + f_1 \in \mathbb{F}[t] \oplus \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ and say that f_0 is the *polynomial part* and f_1 is the *fractional part*. The following lemma tells us how we can continue.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) . Let $f, f', g \in \mathbb{F}(t)$ and write $f = f_0 + f_1 \in \mathbb{F}[t] \oplus \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$, $f' = f'_0 + f'_1 \in \mathbb{F}[t] \oplus \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ and $g = g_0 + g_1 \in \mathbb{F}[t] \oplus \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$. Then (f', g) is a Σ -pair for f iff (f'_0, g_0) is a Σ -pair for f_0 and (f'_1, g_1) is a Σ -pair for f_1 .*

Proof. For the direction from right to left follows by Lemma 2.1.1. Suppose that (f', g) is a Σ -pair for f . Then $[\sigma(g_0) - g_0 + f'_0 - f_0] + [\sigma(g_1) - g_1 + f'_1 - f_1] = 0$. Since $\sigma(g_0) - g_0 + f'_0 - f_0 \in \mathbb{F}[t]$, $\sigma(g_1) - g_1 + f'_1 - f_1 \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ and $\mathbb{F}(t) = \mathbb{F}[t] \oplus \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ is a direct sum $(\mathbb{F}[t], \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)})$ are considered as subspaces of $\mathbb{F}(t)$ over \mathbb{F} , we have $\sigma(g_i) - g_i + f'_i - f_i = 0$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. \square

This motivates us to consider the following problems separately.

PP: Polynomial Problem

Given $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]$; **find** from all the Σ -pairs $(f', g) \in \mathbb{F}[t]^2$ for f a pair where $\deg(f')$ is minimal.

RP: Rational Problem

Given $f \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$; **find** from all the Σ -pairs $(f', g) \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}^2$ for f a pair where the degree of the denominator of f' is minimal.

This explains, why we can impose simultaneously optimal degrees of p and d in problem *RT*.

Example 3.1. (Cont. Example 2.10) Given f from Example 2.10 we compute the polynomial part $f_0 = H^3$ and the fractional part $f_1 = \frac{H^{k-2}}{H(Hk-1)}$ with $f = f_0 + f_1$. Denote with (f'_0, g_0) the computed Σ -pair from Example 2.3. Next, we compute a solution of problem *RP*, namely the Σ -pair $(f'_1, g_1) = (\frac{1}{H}, \frac{k}{kH-1})$ for f_1 , see Example 5.7 (as byproduct we get $\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{kH_k-2}{H_k(kH_k-1)} = \frac{1}{H_n} - 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{H_k}$). Combining the Σ -pairs, see Lemma 2.1.1, we get the Σ -pair $(f', g) = (f_0 + f_1, g_0 + g_1)$ for f which we used in Example 2.10.

Based on the previous considerations we propose the following algorithm.

Algorithm 3.1. *RefinedTelescoping* $((\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma), f)$

Input: A $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ of (\mathbb{F}, σ) and algorithms for *PP* and *RP*; $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]$.

Output: A solution of problem *RT*.

- (1) Split $f = f_0 + f_1$ with $f_0 \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ and $f_1 \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ by polynomial division.
- (2) Let $(f'_0, g_0) \in \mathbb{F}[t]^2$ be a solution of problem *PP* for f_0 .
- (3) Let $(f'_1, g_1) \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}^2$ be a solution of problem *RP* for f_1 .
- (4) RETURN $(f'_0 + f'_1, g_0 + g_1)$.

In Sections 4 and 5 we will solve problems *PP* and *RP* under the assumption that the two subproblems *PLDE* and *SEF* can be solved. Namely, we suppose that we can deal with

Problem PLDE: Solving First order-Parameter Linear Difference Equations

Given $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $f, \phi \in \mathbb{F}$; **find** $g \in \mathbb{F}$ and $c \in \mathbb{K}$ with $a_1 \sigma(g) + a_2 g = f + c \phi$.

Moreover, we must be able to factorize a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ into its irreducible factors. Furthermore, we must be able to solve problem *SEF*; here we need the following definition: we say that $f, g \in \mathbb{F}[t]^*$ are σ -prime, in short, $h \perp_\sigma f$, if $\gcd(h, \sigma^k(f)) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Problem SEF: Separate Equivalent Factors

Given $q \in \mathbb{F}[t]^*$ and an irreducible $h \in \mathbb{F}[t]$; **find** $m_i \geq 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with

$$q = c \prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m_i}), \quad c \perp_\sigma h. \quad (15)$$

The following remarks are in place: If $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]^*$ is irreducible and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\sigma^m(f) \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ is irreducible. Hence, on the set of all irreducible polynomials from $\mathbb{F}[t]$ we get an equivalence relation $f \sim g$ (the shift-equivalence) iff $f \triangleleft_\sigma g$. Thus, solving problem *SEF* means to separate the irreducible polynomials in q into the factors which are all shift-equivalent with h , i.e., $\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m_i})$, and into the factors which are not shift-equivalent to h , i.e., c . Expanding this refined factorization on c , i.e., collecting it into shift-equivalent classes, gives Karr's σ -factorization introduced in [Kar81].

Summarizing, we will obtain the following results.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) where one can solve problems *PLDE* and *SEF*; let $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]$. Then Algorithm 3.1 is applicable and the output (f', g) is a solution of *RT*. Moreover, we have: (1) If there is a Σ -pair $(\phi', \gamma) \in \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}(t)$ for f , then $f' \in \mathbb{F}$.*

(2) If there is a $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}(t)$ with $\sigma(\gamma) - \gamma = f$, then $f' = 0$.

To this end, we emphasize that there are algorithms for problems *PLDE* and *SEF* if \mathbb{F} is a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -field. For problem *PLDE* see [Kar81, Section 3]; a simplified version is given in [Sch05d, Thm. 4.7] which uses results from [Bro00, Sch04a, Sch05a]. For problem *SEF* see [Kar81, Thm. 9]. Hence Algorithm 3.1 can be applied for any $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -field $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$.

4. THE POLYNOMIAL PROBLEM

We reduce problem *PP* to problem *PLDE*. Here we consider two cases.

4.1. The Π -extension case. The solution of problem *PP* is immediate with Lemma 4.1; the proof follows by coefficient comparison.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a Π -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) with $\sigma(t) = \alpha t$, and suppose that $f, f', g \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $f = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i t^i$, $f' = \sum_{i=0}^n f'_i t^i$, and $g = \sum_{i=0}^n g_i t^i \in \mathbb{F}[t]$. Then (f', g) is a Σ -pair for f iff $(f'_i t^i, g_i t^i)$ are Σ -pairs for $f_i t^i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$.*

Start with the Σ -pair (f', g) given by $f' := f$ and $g := 0$. Then we can eliminate a monomial $f_i t^i \neq 0$ in f' iff there is a $g_r \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\sigma(g_r t^r) - g_r t^r = f_i t^i$ or equivalently if

$$\alpha^r \sigma(g_r) - g_r = f_i. \quad (16)$$

Consequently, if we find such a solution g_r with (16), we can adapt the Σ -pair (f', g) with $f' := f - f_i t^i$ and $g := g + g_r t^r$. In this way we can eliminate all terms of highest degree in f' and get a Σ -pair (f', g) where $\deg(f')$ is minimal. Summarizing, we get

Algorithm 4.1. `OptimalPolyPiExtension` $((\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma), f)$

Input: A Π -extension $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ of (\mathbb{F}, σ) and $f = \sum_i f_i t^i \in \mathbb{F}[t]$; an algorithm for problem *PLDE*.

Output: A solution of problem *PP*.

- (1) Set $g := 0$, $f' := f$, $r := \deg(f)$. DO
- (2) If $f_r \neq 0$ and if there is no g_r with (16), STOP and RETURN (f', g) .
- (3) Otherwise, take such a g_r and set $g := g + g_r t^r$ and $f' := f - f_r t^r$. Set $r := r - 1$.
- (4) UNTIL $r = -1$.
- (5) RETURN (f', g) .

Remark. If one continues the DO-loop although one fails to find a g_r one removes all possible terms in f . In this case the number of non-zero terms in f' is minimal.

Example 4.1. Take $(\mathbb{Q}(k)(F), \sigma)$ from Example 2.8 and let $f = (F^3 + (kH + 1)(kH + 2H + 1)F^2 + (k^2 + k + 1)F)$. The subproblems are $(k + 1)^i \sigma(g_i) - g_i = f_i$ with $f_0 = 0$, $f_1 = (k^2 + k + 1)$, $f_2 = (kH + 1)(kH + 2H + 1)$, and $f_3 = 1$. The solutions are $g_2 = H^2$, $g_1 = k$, and $g_0 = 0$; there is no such $g_3 \in \mathbb{Q}(k)(H)$. Hence $(f', g) = (F^3, H^2 F^2 + kF)$ is a Σ -pair for f which solves *PP* and is optimal w.r.t. the number of terms in F .

4.2. The Σ^* -extension case. We solve problem *PP* by refining Karr's algorithm. First we bound the degree of the possible solutions; see also [Sch05a, Cor. 6].

Lemma 4.2. [Kar81, Cor. 1] *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) and $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]^*$. If there is a $g \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $\sigma(g) - g = f$, then $\deg(g) \leq \deg(f) + 1$.*

Then we try to compute step by step the coefficients of the polynomial solution $g = \sum_{k=0}^b g_k t^k$ with $b := \deg(f) + 1$. If this fails, i.e., if there does not exist a telescoping solution, we can extract a solution of problem *PP*. The following example illustrates these ideas.

Example 4.2. (Cont. Example 2.2) Take the $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -field $(\mathbb{Q}(k)(H), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(k) = k + 1$ and $\sigma(H) = H + \frac{1}{k+1}$. We look for a $g \in \mathbb{Q}(k)[H]$ such that

$$\sigma(g) - g = H^4; \quad (17)$$

for convenience we set $f_4 := H^4$. Since $\deg(g) \leq 5$ by Lemma 4.2, we can set up the solution as $g = \sum_{i=0}^5 g_i H^i$ with $g_i \in \mathbb{Q}(k)$. By plugging in g into (17) we get by coefficient comparison the constraint $\sigma(g_5) - g_5 = 0$ for the leading coefficient g_5 . It follows that $g_5 := c_4 \in \mathbb{Q}$ for a constant c_4 which is not determined yet. Using this information it remains to look for $c_4 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^4 g_i H^i$ such that

$$\sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^4 g_i H^i\right) - \sum_{i=0}^4 g_i H^i = f_4 - c_4 \psi_4$$

where $\psi_4 := \sigma(H^5) - H^5$, i.e., $\psi_4 = \frac{1+5(1+k)H+10(1+k)^2H^2+10(1+k)^3H^3+5(1+k)^4H^4}{(1+k)^5}$. Coefficient comparison gives the constraint $\sigma(g_4) - g_4 = 1 + c_4 \frac{-5}{k+1}$ for g_4 . The only possible solution is $c_4 = 0$ and $g_4 = k + c_3$ for a new parameter $c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Thus, we have to find $g_i \in \mathbb{Q}(k)$ and $c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$ with

$$\sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^3 g_i H^i\right) - \sum_{i=0}^3 g_i H^i = f_3 - c_3 \psi_3$$

where $f_3 := f_4 - c_4 \psi_4 - (\sigma(kH^3) - kH^3) = -\frac{1+4(1+k)H+6(1+k)^2H^2+4(1+k)^3H^3}{(1+k)^3}$ and $\psi_3 := \sigma(H^3) - H^3 = \frac{1+4(1+k)H+6(1+k)^2H^2+4(1+k)^3H^3}{(1+k)^4}$. Coefficient comparison gives the constraint $\sigma(g_3) - g_3 = -4 + c_3 \frac{-4}{k+1}$. The only possible solution for $g_3 \in \mathbb{Q}(k)$ and $c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$ is $g_3 = -4k + c_2$ with a new parameter $c_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $c_3 = 0$. Therefore, it remains to look for $g_i \in \mathbb{Q}(k)$ and $c_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^2 g_i H^i\right) - \sum_{i=0}^2 g_i H^i = f_2 - c_2 \psi_2$$

where $f_2 := f_3 - c_3 \psi_3 - (\sigma(-4kH^3) + 4kH^3) = \frac{3+4k+4(2+5k+3k^2)H+6(1+k)^2(1+2k)H^2}{(1+k)^3}$ and $\psi_2 := \sigma(H^3) - H^3 = \frac{1+3(1+k)H+3(1+k)^2H^2}{(1+k)^3}$. We obtain the constraint $\sigma(g_2) - g_2 = 6\frac{1+2k}{k+1} + c_2 \frac{-3}{k+1}$. The solution is $g_2 = 12k + c_1$ with $c_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $c_2 = -2$. To this end, we have to look for $g_i \in \mathbb{Q}(k)$ and $c_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^1 g_i H^i\right) - \sum_{i=0}^1 g_i H^i = f_1 - c_1 \psi_1$$

where $f_1 = f_2 - c_2 \psi_2 - (\sigma(12kH^2) - 12kH^2) = \frac{-7-20k-12k^2+(-10-46k-60k^2-24k^3)H}{(1+k)^3}$ and $\psi_1 = \sigma(H^2) - H^2 = \frac{1+2(1+k)H}{(1+k)^2}$. This time we obtain the constraint $\sigma(g_1) - g_1 = \frac{-2(5+18k+12k^2)}{(1+k)^3} + c_1 \frac{-2}{(1+k)^2}$ which does not have any solution for $g_1 \in \mathbb{Q}(k)$ and $c_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Here Karr's algorithm stops with the answer: there is no $g \in \mathbb{Q}(k)[H]$ with (17). Note that there is the following sub-result. Define $\gamma_r := \sum_{i=r}^5 g_i H^i$ for $1 \leq r \leq 4$ by the given $g_r \in \mathbb{Q}(k)$. Then

$$\sigma(\gamma_r) - \gamma_r = f - f_r,$$

i.e., (f_r, γ_r) is a Σ -pair for f . As it turns out (f_1, γ_1) solves problem *PP* for H^4 .

In general, let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a Σ^* -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) with $\sigma(t) = t + \beta$ and $\mathbb{K} := \text{const}_\sigma \mathbb{F}$. Then we can solve problem *PP* for $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $s := \deg(f)$ in the following way.

We start with the trivial Σ -pair (f', g) with $f' := f$ and $g := 0$. Given (f', g) , we check if f' has already the minimal degree; this will be possible by Lemma 4.3.1. If yes, we are done. If no, Lemma 4.3.2 explains how we can construct a Σ -pair $(\phi', \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}[t]^2$ for f with $\deg(\phi) < \deg(f')$. Applying this degree reduction at most s times we find a Σ -pair $(\phi', \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}[t]^2$ where $\deg(\phi')$ is minimal.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a Σ^* -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) and $\mathbb{K} := \text{const}_\sigma \mathbb{F}(t)$. Let $(f', g) \in \mathbb{F}[t]^2$ be a Σ -pair for $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $s := \deg(f')$ and define $\psi := \sigma(t^{s+1}) - t^{s+1}$. Then:*

(1) *If there are no $w \in \mathbb{F}$ and $c \in \mathbb{K}$ with¹*

$$\sigma(w) - w = \text{coeff}(f', s) - c \text{coeff}(\psi, s), \quad (18)$$

then (f', g) is a Σ -pair for f where $\deg(f')$ is minimal.

(2) *If there are $w \in \mathbb{F}$ and $c \in \mathbb{K}$ with (18), then we get the Σ -pair (ϕ, γ) for f with*

$$\phi := \sigma(w t^s) - w t^s + c \psi - f', \quad \gamma := g + c t^{s+1} + w t^s \quad (19)$$

where $\deg(\psi) < \deg(f')$.

Proof. (1) Suppose there is a Σ -pair $(\phi, \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $\deg(\phi) < s$. Then $\sigma(g - \gamma) - (g - \gamma) = f' - \phi$ with $\deg(f' - \phi) = s$. By Lemma 4.2 it follows that $\deg(g - \gamma) \leq s + 1$. Consequently, $g - \gamma = c t^{s+1} + w t^s + v$ with $c \in \mathbb{K}$, $w \in \mathbb{F}$ and $v \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $\deg(v) < s$. Therefore

$$\sigma(w t^s + v) - (w t^s + v) = f' - \phi - c \psi.$$

Note that $\deg(\psi) \leq s$ (we even have equality by Lemma 4.2). By coefficient comparison of the leading coefficient we get (18).

(2) Conversely, suppose there are such $w \in \mathbb{F}$ and $c \in \mathbb{K}$ with (18). Then take $\gamma := g + c t^{s+1} + w t^s$. We have $\phi := f - (\sigma(\gamma) - \gamma) = f' - (\sigma(w t^s) - w t^s) - c \psi$ with $\deg(\phi) \leq s$. By (18), $\deg(\phi) < s$. By construction (ϕ, γ) is a Σ -pair for f . \square

Corollary 4.1. *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a Σ^* -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) and $\mathbb{K} := \text{const}_\sigma \mathbb{F}(t)$. Let $(f', g) \in \mathbb{F}[t]^2$ be a Σ -pair for $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $s := \deg(f')$ and define $\psi := \sigma(t^{s+1}) - t^{s+1}$. Then (f', g) is a solution of problem *PP* iff there are no $w \in \mathbb{F}$ and $c \in \mathbb{K}$ with (18).*

Summarizing, we reduce problem *PP* to problem *PLDE* as follows.

Algorithm 4.2. `OptimalPolySigmaExtension(($\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma$), f)`

Input: A Σ^* -extension $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ of (\mathbb{F}, σ) with $\mathbb{K} := \text{const}_\sigma \mathbb{F}$, $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]$; an algorithm for problem *PLDE*.
Output: A solution of problem *PP*.

- (1) Set $(f', g) := (f, 0)$.
- (2) WHILE $f' \neq 0$ DO
- (3) Define $s := \deg(f)$ and set $\psi := \sigma(t^{s+1}) - t^{s+1}$. Decide if there are $w \in \mathbb{F}$ and $c \in \mathbb{K}$ with (18).
- (4) IF not, STOP and RETURN (f', g) .
- (5) Otherwise, take such a w and c , and define (ϕ, γ) as in (19). Set $(f', g) := (\phi, \gamma)$.
- (6) OD
- (7) RETURN (f', g)

Example 4.3. (Cont. Example 3.1) With Algorithm 4.2 we compute for $f = H^3$ the Σ -pairs $(H^3, 0)$, $(-\frac{3k^2 H^2 + 6kH^2 + 3H^2 + 3kH + 3H + 1}{(k+1)^2}, H^3 k)$, $(\frac{6Hk^2 + 9Hk + 3k + 3H + 2}{(k+1)^2}, (H - 3)H^2 k)$ and $(-\frac{12k^2 + 18k + 5}{2(k+1)^2}, \frac{1}{2}H(2kH^2 - 6kH - 3H + 12k))$. Since there are no $g \in \mathbb{Q}(k)$ and $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $\sigma(g) - g = -\frac{12k^2 + 18k + 5}{2(k+1)^2} + \frac{c}{k+1}$, the last Σ -pair solves problem *PP* by Corollary 4.1.

Example 4.4. (Cont. Example 4.2) The computed Σ -pairs (f_r, γ_r) for H^4 from Example 4.2 are the (f', g) in each iteration step. By Corollary 4.1 the output (f_1, γ_1) is a solution of *PP*.

Remark 4.1. Let (ϕ, γ) be a Σ -pair for f with $s := \deg(\phi)$ minimal. The following remarks are in place. (1) The coefficients of the monomials t^i with $i > s + 1$ in γ are uniquely determined. Namely, take any other Σ -pair $(\phi', \gamma') \in \mathbb{F}[t]^2$ for f with $\deg(\phi') = s$. Then $\sigma(\gamma - \gamma') - (\gamma - \gamma') = \phi' - \phi$, and therefore by Lemma 4.3 it follows that $\gamma - \gamma' \leq \deg(\phi' - \phi) + 1 \leq s + 1$. Hence all coefficients of the monomials t^i with $i > s + 1$ in γ and γ' must be equal.

¹ $\text{coeff}(f, s)$ denotes the coefficient f_s in $\sum_i f_i t^i \in \mathbb{F}[t]$.

(2) From Remark 4.1.1 we get the following additional consequence. If $(\phi', \gamma') \in \mathbb{F}[t]^2$ is a Σ -pair for f with $\deg(\phi') = s$, then there is a $w \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $\deg(w) \leq s + 1$ such that

$$\sigma(w) - w + \phi' = \phi. \quad (20)$$

Hence for all degree optimal ϕ, ϕ' we have (20) for some $w \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $\deg(w) \leq s + 1$.

E.g., with Lemma 2.1.3 in combination with Lemma 2.1.2 we can get a rather simple transformation: we can shift the non-summable part in positive or negative direction.

Example 4.5. (Cont. Example 4.4) Take for $f = H^4$ the already computed Σ -pair $(f', g) = (-\frac{12k^2+20k+2H(12k^3+30k^2+23k+5)+7}{(k+1)^3}, H^2(kH^2 - 2(2k+1)H + 12k))$. By Lemma 2.1.3 we get the Σ -pair (f', f') for $\sigma(f')$. Hence $(\sigma^{-1}(f'), \sigma^{-1}(f'))$ is a Σ -pair for f' . With Lemma 2.1.1 we get the Σ -pair $(\sigma^{-1}(f'), \sigma^{-1}(f') + g)$ for H^4 which we used in Example 2.2.

Example 4.6. (Cont. Example 4.3) Let $(f', g) = (-\frac{12k^2+18k+5}{2(k+1)^2}, \frac{1}{2}H(2kH^2 - 6kH - 3H + 12k))$ be the Σ -pair for H^3 from Example 4.3. Like in Example 4.5 we get the Σ -pair $(\sigma^{-1}(f'), \sigma^{-1}(f') + g)$ for H^3 which we used in Example 3.1.

5. THE RATIONAL PROBLEM

Under the assumption that we can solve *SEF* and *PLDE* we reduce problem *RP* to problem *SFP* given below. The corresponding algorithms generalize the results in [Abr75, Pau95].

To accomplish this task, we proceed as follows. Write $f = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $h \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ be an irreducible factor of q . Then solve *SEF* and compute $m_i \geq 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}[t]^*$ with (15); note that not all m_i are zero.

Example 5.1. (Cont. Example 2.8) Given $f = \frac{p}{q}$ from Example 2.8 with $q = F^3(F+1)(kF+F+1)$, we choose $h = F$ and get $q = F^3 c$ with $c = (F+1)(kF+F+1)$ and $c \perp_{\sigma} F$.

Since c and $\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m_i})$ are σ -prime, in particular co-prime, we can compute by the extended Euclidean algorithm, see [Win96, Corollary, p. 53], polynomials $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ such that

$$f = \frac{p}{\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m_i})c} = \frac{a}{\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m_i})} + \frac{b}{c}$$

where $\frac{a}{\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m_i})}, \frac{b}{c} \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$.

Example 5.2. (Cont. Example 5.1) We get $f = f_0 + f_1$ with $f_0 := \frac{kF^2 - F^2 + k^2F - F + 1}{F^3}$ and $f_1 = \frac{b}{c} := \frac{k(Fk+1)}{(F+1)(kF+F+1)}$.

Given this representation of f the following lemma tells us how to proceed.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) , let $f, f', g \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$, and let $h \in \mathbb{F}[t]^*$ be irreducible. Write $f = f_0 + f_1$, $f' = f'_0 + f'_1$ and $g = g_0 + g_1$ with $f_i, f'_i, g_i \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ and*

$$f_0 = \frac{a}{\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m_i})}, \quad f_1 = \frac{b}{c} \quad \text{for some } a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}[t] \text{ with } h \perp_{\sigma} c, m_i \geq 0, \quad (21)$$

$$f'_0 = \frac{a'}{\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m'_i})}, \quad f'_1 = \frac{b'}{c'} \quad \text{for some } a', b', c' \in \mathbb{F}[t] \text{ with } h \perp_{\sigma} c', m'_i \geq 0, \quad (22)$$

$$g_0 = \frac{\alpha}{\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{\mu_i})}, \quad g_1 = \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \quad \text{for some } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}[t] \text{ with } h \perp_{\sigma} \gamma, \mu_i \geq 0. \quad (23)$$

²If not stated differently, we suppose that $p, q \in \mathbb{F}[t]$, $q \neq 0$, and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, whenever we write $f = \frac{p}{q}$; we define $\text{den}(f) = q$ (up to a unit in \mathbb{F}).

- (i) Then (f', g) is a Σ -pair for f iff (f'_i, g_i) are Σ -pairs for f_i with $i \in \{0, 1\}$.
(ii) Let (f', g) be a Σ -pair for f , and (f'_i, g_i) be Σ -pairs for f_i with $i \in \{0, 1\}$ where the f_i, f'_i and g_i are as above. Then (f', g) is a solution of problem RP for f iff (f'_1, g_1) is a solution of problem RP for f_1 and $\deg(\text{den}(f'_0))$ is minimal w.r.t. all Σ -pairs in $\mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}^2$ where the denominators are of the form $\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{\nu_i})$ for some $\nu_i \geq 0$ (see problem SFP).

Proof. (i) The direction from left to right follows by Lemma 2.1.1. Now suppose that (f', g) is a Σ -pair for f . Then $0 = \sigma(g) - g + f' - f = [\sigma(g_0) - g_0 + f'_0 - f_0] + [\sigma(g_1) - g_1 + f'_1 - f_1] = h_0 + h_1$ with $h_i := \sigma(g_i) - g_i + f'_i - f_i$. We have $h_0 = \frac{A}{\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{\nu_i})}$ and $h_1 = \frac{B}{C}$ for some $\nu_i \geq 0$, and $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $h \perp_{\sigma} C$. Suppose that $h_0, h_1 \neq 0$. Since $h_0 = -h_1$, $C = u \prod_i \sigma^i(h^{\nu_i})$ with $u \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $\deg(C) > 0$. A contradiction that $h \perp_{\sigma} C$. Hence $h_0 = 0 = h_1$, and therefore (f'_i, g_i) are Σ -pairs for f_i with $i \in \{0, 1\}$.

(ii) Suppose that $\deg(\text{den}(f'_i))$ is not minimal for some $i \in \{0, 1\}$ as stated in the lemma. Let $j \in \{0, 1\} \setminus \{i\}$ and take $\psi, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ such that $\sigma(\gamma) - \gamma + \psi = f_i$ and $\deg(\text{den}(\psi)) < \deg(\text{den}(f'_i))$. Then $(\psi + f'_j, \gamma + g_j)$ is a Σ -pair for f by Lemma 2.1.1. We have

$$\deg(\text{den}(\psi + f'_j)) \leq \deg(\text{den}(\psi)) + \deg(\text{den}(f'_j)) < \deg(\text{den}(f'_0)) + \deg(\text{den}(f'_1)) = \deg(\text{den}(f')).$$

Conversely, suppose that $\deg(\text{den}(f_0))$ and $\deg(\text{den}(f_1))$ are minimal as stated in the lemma, but (f', g) does not solve RP . Take a Σ -pair $(\psi, \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}^2$ for f with $\deg(\text{den}(\psi)) < \deg(\text{den}(f'))$. By (i) there are $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1$ and $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1$ such that (ψ_i, γ_i) are Σ -pairs for f_i with $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and where we can write $\psi_0 = \frac{A}{\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{\nu_i})}$ and $\psi_1 = \frac{B}{C}$ for some $\nu_i \geq 0$, and $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $h \perp_{\sigma} C$. Then it follows that

$$\deg(\text{den}(\psi_0)) + \deg(\text{den}(\psi_1)) = \deg(\text{den}(\psi)) < \deg(\text{den}(f')) = \deg(\text{den}(f_0)) + \deg(\text{den}(f_1)),$$

a contradiction to $\deg(\text{den}(f'_i)) \leq \deg(\text{den}(\psi_i))$ for $i = 0, 1$. \square

This gives the following reduction. Write f in the representation $f = f_0 + f_1$ with (21), see above. Then find a Σ -pair (f'_0, g_0) for f_0 where the degree of the denominator of f'_0 is minimal. More precisely, solve problem SFP for f_0 .

SFP: Simple Fractional Part

Given $f = \frac{a}{\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m_i})} \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)} \setminus \{0\}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ and $h \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ irreducible (not all m_i are zero);
find $f' = \frac{a'}{\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m_i})} \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ and $g = \frac{\alpha}{\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{\mu_i})} \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ for some $a', \alpha \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ and $m_i, \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 0$ with (6) where the degree of $\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m_i})$ is optimal w.r.t. all Σ -pairs in $\mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}^2$ where the denominators are of the form $\prod_i \sigma^i(h^{\nu_i})$ for some $\nu_i \geq 0$.

Then continue to solve problem RP for f_1 ; note that the degree of the denominator of f_1 is reduced by $\deg(h) \sum_i m_i > 0$. If $f_1 = 0$, take the Σ -pair $(0, 0)$. Otherwise, apply the same reduction strategy to $f_1 \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)} \setminus \{0\}$ as sketched above (for a new irreducible polynomial $h \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ in the denominator of f_1). This finally gives the solution (f'_1, g_1) of problem RP for f_1 . By Lemma 5.1 we get the solution $(f'_0 + f'_1, g_0 + g_1)$ of problem RP for f .

Example 5.3. (Cont. Example 5.2) We solve problem SFP for f_0 and get the Σ -pair $(f'_0, g_0) = (\frac{1}{F^3}, -\frac{k^2}{F^2} - \frac{k}{F})$; see Example 5.4. As byproduct we get $\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(k-1)k!^2 + (k^2-1)k!-1}{(k!)^3} = \frac{2n!^2 - n! - 1}{n!^2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!}$. Next we solve problem RP for f_1 . As result we get the Σ -pair $(f'_1, g_1) = (\frac{1}{F+1}, \frac{k}{F+1})$ for f_1 ; see Example 5.8. This results in $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k(k!k+1)}{(k!+1)(kk!+k!+1)} = \frac{-(n+1)n!+1}{2((n+1)n!+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{1+k!}$. Combining the results we get the solution $(f', g) = (f'_0 + f'_1, g_0 + g_1)$ of problem RP for f .

Summarizing, we can reduce problem SEF to problem SFP as follows.

Algorithm 5.1. `ReduceFractionalPart`(($\mathbb{F}(t)$, σ), f)

Input: A $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension ($\mathbb{F}(t)$, σ) of (\mathbb{F} , σ) and $f \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$; algorithms for problems *SFP*, *SEF*.

Output: A solution of problem *RP*.

- (1) Set $g := 0$ and $f' := f$. WHILE $f \neq 0$ DO
- (2) Let $f = \frac{q}{q}$. Take an irreducible factor $h \in \mathbb{F}[t]^*$ of q and represent q in the form (15).
- (3) By the extended Euclidean algorithm write $f = f_0 + f_1$ in the form (21).
- (4) Compute a Σ -pair (f'_0, g_0) for f_0 which is a solution of problem *SFP*.
- (5) Set $f := f - f_0$, $f' := f' + f'_0$ and $g := g + g_0$.
- (6) OD
- (7) RETURN (f', g)

To this end, we show how we can solve problem *SFP*. Here the following property is essential.

Lemma 5.2. *Let ($\mathbb{F}(t)$, σ) be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of (\mathbb{F} , σ) and $h \in \mathbb{F}[t]^*$ be irreducible. Suppose that $\frac{\sigma(t)}{t} \notin \mathbb{F}$ or $\frac{h}{t} \in \mathbb{F}$. Then $\gcd(\sigma^k(h), \sigma^l(h)) = 1$ for all integers k, l with $k \neq l$.*

Proof. Assume $\gcd(\sigma^k(h), \sigma^l(h)) \neq 1$. Since $\sigma^k(h), \sigma^l(h) \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ are irreducible, $\frac{\sigma^k(h)}{\sigma^l(h)} \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence $\frac{\sigma^{k-l}(h)}{h} \in \mathbb{F}$. By [Kar81, Thm. 4] (compare [Bro00, Cor. 1,2] or [Sch01, Thm. 2.2.4]) it follows that $\frac{\sigma(t)}{t} \in \mathbb{F}$ and $h/t \in \mathbb{F}$. \square

Since Lemma 5.2 cannot be applied if $h = t$ and $\frac{\sigma(t)}{t} \in \mathbb{F}$, we do a case distinction.

5.1. A special case. Let ($\mathbb{F}(t)$, σ) be a Π -extension of (\mathbb{F} , σ) with $\sigma(t) = \alpha t$, let $h = t$, and let $f = \frac{a}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma^i(h^{m_i})} \neq 0$ as in problem *SFP*. Then for some $u \in \mathbb{F}^*$, $n > 0$ and $t \nmid a$ we have

$$f = \frac{au}{t^n}, \quad 0 \leq \deg(a) < n.$$

Hence we can write $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{1}{t^i}$ for some $f_i \in \mathbb{F}$, i.e., $f \in \mathbb{F}[\frac{1}{t}]$. Similarly, the $f', g \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ in problem *SFP* are also elements from $\mathbb{F}[\frac{1}{t}]$. Thus, problem *SFP* boils down to find a Σ -pair $(f', g) \in \mathbb{F}[\frac{1}{t}]^2$ for $f \in \mathbb{F}[\frac{1}{t}]$ where in $f' = \sum_{i=0}^{n'} f'_i \frac{1}{t^i}$ the degree n' is minimal.

Now observe that the difference field $(\mathbb{F}(\frac{1}{t}), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(\frac{1}{t}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{t}$ is a Π -extension of (\mathbb{F} , σ). This is a direct consequence of [Kar81, Thm. 2]; see also [Sch05c, Prop. 4.4]. Hence in $(\mathbb{F}(\frac{1}{t}), \sigma)$ problem *SFP* is nothing else than problem *PP* handled in Subsection 4.1. In a nutshell, we can apply Algorithm 4.1 with the function call `OptimalPolyPiExtension`(($\mathbb{F}(\frac{1}{t})$, σ), f).

Example 5.4. (Cont. Example 5.3) Given $f = \frac{(k-1)(F)^2 + (k^2-1)F-1}{F^3} = \frac{1}{F^3} + \frac{k^2-1}{F^2} + \frac{k-1}{F} = \sum_{i=1}^3 f_i \frac{1}{F^i}$ we have to solve the problems $\frac{1}{(k+1)^i} \sigma(g_i) - g_i = f_i$ with $f_3 = 1$, $f_2 = k^2 - 1$ and $f_1 = k - 1$. We get the solutions $g_2 = -k^2$, $g_1 = -k$; there is no solution $g_3 \in \mathbb{Q}(k)$. Hence we obtain $(f', g) = (\frac{1}{F^3}, \frac{-k^2}{F^2} + \frac{-k}{F})$ for problem *SFP*.

5.2. The remaining cases. The solution of problem *SFP* can be summarized in

Theorem 5.1. *Let ($\mathbb{F}(t)$, σ) be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of (\mathbb{F} , σ) and let $h \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ be irreducible with $h \neq t$ or $\frac{\sigma(t)}{t} \notin \mathbb{F}$. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)} \setminus \{0\}$ with $\text{den}(f) = \prod_i \sigma^i(h^{m_i})$ for some $m_i \geq 0$. Then:*

- (1) A Σ -pair $(f', g) \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}^2$ of f can be computed where the denominator of f' has the form

$$u\sigma^i(h)^m \quad \text{for some } u \in \mathbb{F}^*, i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } m \geq 0. \quad (24)$$

- (2) A Σ -pair $(f', g) \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}^2$ of f solves *SFP* iff the denominator of f' is of the form (24).

In the remaining part of the subsection we prove the theorem. Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) where either $\frac{\sigma(t)}{t} \notin \mathbb{F}$ (a Σ^* -extension) or $h \neq t$. Moreover, consider $f = \frac{a}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma^i(h^{m_i})}$ with $m_i \geq 0$ as in problem *SFP*.

Proof of Theorem 5.1.1. By Lemma 5.2 all the $\sigma^i(h^{m_i})$ with $m_i \neq 0$ are pairwise coprime. Thus, we can invoke the extended Euclidean algorithm and compute polynomials $s_i \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with

$$f = \frac{a}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma^i(h^{m_i})} = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{s_i}{\sigma^i(h^{m_i})} \quad (25)$$

where $\deg(s_i) < \deg(h)m_i$. Equivalently, we can write

$$f = \sum_i \sigma^i(f_i)$$

with $f_i := \frac{\sigma^{-i}(s_i)}{h^{m_i}}$. Then by Lemma 2.1.3 we can compute $g_i \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ with

$$\sigma^i(f_i) = \sigma(g_i) - g_i + f_i. \quad (26)$$

Therefore, with (25), (26) and Lemma 2.1.1 we get a Σ -pair (f', g) for f defined by

$$f' := \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\sigma^{-i}(s_i)}{h^{m_i}} \quad \text{and} \quad g := \sum_{i=0}^n g_i \quad \text{with} \quad g_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma^j\left(\frac{\sigma^{-i}(s_i)}{h^{m_i}}\right) & \text{if } i \geq 0 \\ -\sum_{j=0}^{-i-1} \sigma^{j+i}\left(\frac{\sigma^{-i}(s_i)}{h^{m_i}}\right) & \text{if } i < 0; \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

f' and g are of the required form given in problem *SFP*. This proves Theorem 5.1.1. \square

Example 5.5. (Cont. Example 2.6) Write $f = \frac{1+k}{k(k+2)} = \frac{1}{2k} + \frac{1}{2(k+1)}$. We apply Lemma 2.1.3 and get $\frac{1}{2(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2k} + \sigma(g) - g$ with $g = \frac{1}{2k} + \frac{1}{2(k+1)} = \frac{2k+1}{2k(k+1)}$. Hence we obtain $f = \frac{1}{2k} + \frac{1}{2k} + \sigma(g) - g$, and therefore $(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{2k+1}{2k(k+1)})$ is a Σ -pair for f .

Example 5.6. (Cont. Example 2.7) Write $f = \frac{1}{k(k-1)P} = \frac{1}{(k-1)P} - \frac{1}{kP}$. By $\frac{1}{(k-1)P} = \sigma\left(\frac{1}{(k-1)P}\right) + \sigma(g) - g$ with $g = \frac{-1}{(k-1)P}$ we get the Σ -pair $(-\frac{1}{2kP}, \frac{-1}{(k-1)P})$ for f .

Example 5.7. (Cont. Example 3.1) Write $f = \frac{Hk-2}{H(Hk-1)} = \frac{2}{H} + \frac{-1}{\sigma^{-1}(H)}$. We have $\frac{-1}{\sigma^{-1}(H)} = \frac{-1}{H} + \sigma(g) - g$ with $g = \frac{1}{\sigma^1(H)} = \frac{k}{kH-1}$. Thus we get the Σ -pair $(f', g) = (\frac{1}{H}, \frac{k}{kH-1})$ for f .

Example 5.8. (Cont. Example 5.3) Write $f = \frac{k(Fk+1)}{(F+1)(kF+F+1)} = \frac{k(Fk+1)}{(F+1)\sigma(F+1)} = \frac{k-1}{F+1} + \frac{1}{\sigma(F+1)}$. Then $\sigma\left(\frac{1}{F+1}\right) = \sigma(g) - (g) + \frac{1}{F+1}$ with $g = \frac{1}{F+1}$. Hence $(f', g) = (\frac{1}{F+1}, \frac{k}{F+1})$ is a Σ -pair for f .

Example 5.9. (Cont. Example 2.9) Take f from Example 2.9 and split it in the form

$$f = \frac{k(k+1)^2}{(2k+1)h} + \frac{(k+1)^2(k+2)}{(2k+3)\sigma(h)} + \frac{k(k+1)(k+2)}{(2k+1)\sigma^2(h)} - \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)}{(2k+3)\sigma^3(h)} = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_3.$$

Then by Lemma 2.1.3 we obtain (f'_i, g_i) with $f'_i = f_i + \sigma(g_i) - g_i$ where

$$(f'_1, g_1) = \left(\frac{k^2(k+1)}{(2k+1)h}, \frac{k^2(k+1)}{(2k+1)(Hk-1)}\right), \quad (f'_2, g_2) = \left(\frac{(k-2)(k-1)k}{(2k-3)h}, \frac{(k-1)k(-2k+3+H(4k^2-8k+2))}{H(Hk-1)(4k^2-8k+3)}\right)$$

$$(f'_3, g_3) = \left(\frac{-(k-2)(k-1)k}{(2k-3)h}, \frac{-k(-4k^3+8k^2-k-3-4H(4k^3-6k^2-k+2))+H^2(12k^5-12k^4-21k^3+12k^2+7k-2)}{H(8k^3-12k^2-2k+3)(k(k+1)H^2-H-1)}\right).$$

This gives the Σ -pair $(f', g) = (f_0 + f'_1 + f'_2 + f'_3, g'_1 + g'_2 + g'_3) = \left(\frac{k(k+1)}{Hk-1}, \frac{k(k+1)}{(Hk-1)(kH+H+1)}\right)$.

With Theorem 5.1.2 it follows that all Σ -pairs from the previous examples are solutions of problem *SFP*. To prove it, we need Remark 5.1 and Lemma 5.3 (compare [Bro00, Cor. 4]).

Remark 5.1. Let (f', g) be a Σ -pair for f which we get by (27). Then $\deg(\text{den}(f')) \leq \deg(\text{den}(f))$. If $m_i m_j \neq 0$ in (25) for some $i \neq j$, then $\deg(\text{den}(f')) < \deg(\text{den}(f))$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) , let $h \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ be irreducible and suppose that $\frac{\sigma(t)}{t} \notin \mathbb{F}$ or $t \nmid h$. Then there is no $g \in \mathbb{F}(t)$ with $\sigma(g) - g = \frac{c}{h^r}$ for $c \in \mathbb{F}[t]^*$ and $r > 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a solution $g = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{F}(t)$. Define $d := \gcd(b, \sigma(b))$. Then $vh^r = \text{lcm}(b, \sigma(b))$ with $v \mid d$; see e.g. [Win96, Thm. 2.3.1]. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be maximal such that $\sigma^m(h) \mid b$. Then $\sigma^{m+1}(h) \nmid d$. Hence $\sigma^{m+1}(h) \nmid v$ and $\sigma^{m+1}(h) \mid \text{lcm}(b, \sigma(b))$. Thus $\sigma^{m+1}(h) \mid h^r$, i.e., $m = -1$. Now take m' minimal with $\sigma^{m'}(h) \mid b$. Then $\sigma^{m'}(h) \nmid d$. Hence $\sigma^{m'}(h) \nmid v$ and $\sigma^{m'}(h) \mid \text{lcm}(b, \sigma(b))$. Thus $\sigma^{m'}(h) \mid h^r$, i.e., $m' = 0$; a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1.2. “ \Rightarrow ” Let (f', g) be a Σ -pair of f with $\text{den}(f') = \prod_{i=1}^{n'} \sigma^i(h^{m'_i})$ where $m'_i m'_j \neq 0$ for some $i \neq j$. By Remark 5.1 there is a Σ -pair (ϕ, γ) for f' where $\deg(\text{den}(\phi)) < \deg(\text{den}(f'))$. Hence $(\phi, g + \gamma)$ is a Σ -pair for f by Lemma 2.1.2. Thus $\deg(\text{den}(f'))$ is not minimal.

“ \Leftarrow ” Let (f', g) be a Σ -pair for f with $f' = \frac{p}{q}$ and $q = \sigma^i(h)^m$. If $m = 0$, then $f' = 0$, i.e., nothing has to be shown. Let $m > 0$, and hence $p \neq 0$, and assume that there is a Σ -pair $(\frac{p'}{q'}, g')$ for f where $\deg(q')$ is minimal and $\deg(q') < \deg(q)$. By the implication “ \Rightarrow ” and the minimality of $\deg(q')$ it follows that $q' = u \sigma^j(h)^{m'}$ for some $u \in \mathbb{F}^*$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m' \geq 0$. Then by Lemma 2.1.3 there are $a \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}(t)$ with $\sigma(\gamma) - \gamma + \frac{a}{\sigma^i(h)^{m'}} = f$. Hence

$$\sigma(g - \gamma) - (g - \gamma) = \frac{a}{\sigma^i(h)^{m'}} - \frac{p}{\sigma^i(h)^m} = \frac{a \sigma^i(h)^{m-m'} - p}{\sigma^i(h)^m} \quad (28)$$

where $\sigma^i(h) \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ is irreducible. Since $m' < m$, $p \neq 0$ and $\gcd(p, \sigma^i(h)) = 1$, the right-hand side of (28) is non-zero; a contradiction to Lemma 5.3. This proves Theorem 5.1.2. \square

Define the *dispersion* of $f \in \mathbb{F}[t]^*$ by

$$\text{disp}(f) = \max \{m \geq 0 \mid \gcd(\sigma^m(f), f) \neq 1\}.$$

By Lemma 5.1.2 (Algorithm 5.1) and Theorem 5.1 we get

Corollary 5.1. *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) and $f \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}$ where t is a Σ^* -extension or $t \nmid \text{den}(f)$. Let $(\frac{p}{q}, g) \in \mathbb{F}(t)_{(r)}^2$ be a Σ -pair for f . Then the following is equivalent:*

- (1) $(\frac{p}{q}, g)$ is a solution of problem *RP*.
- (2) $q = u \prod_i h_i^{m_i}$ where $u \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and where the $h_i \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ are irreducible and pairwise σ -prime.
- (3) $\text{disp}(q) = 0$.

Corollary 5.1 is a generalized version of the rational case given in [Abr75] or [Pau95, Prop. 3.3].

Remark 5.2. A solution $(\frac{p}{q}, g)$ of problem *RP* with q as in Corollary 5.1.2 is not uniquely determined. More precisely, by splitting $\frac{p}{q}$ in the form $f' = \sum_i \frac{p_i}{h_i^{m_i}}$ with $p_i \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ and $\deg(p_i) < \deg(h_i)m_i$ we can apply Lemma 2.1.3 and obtain all other Σ -pairs (ϕ, γ) where $\text{den}(\phi)$ is of the form $\prod_i \sigma^{z_i} h_i^{m_i}$ with $z_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

6. ELIMINATING SEVERAL TOP EXTENSIONS IN A SUM

As shown in Corollary 3.1 we can eliminate the top extension from the non-summable part, if possible; see Examples 2.3 and 2.4. More generally, we are interested to eliminate several extensions, like for identity (5) or

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \binom{n}{j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \binom{n}{j} \right)^2 = \frac{n+2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j}^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (n^2 - nk + k^2) \binom{n}{k}^3.$$

Assume we have given several $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extensions $(\mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e), \sigma)$ over \mathbb{F} with $\sigma(t_i) = a_i t_i + b_i$ where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}$; in short we say that $(\mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e), \sigma)$ is a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension over \mathbb{F} . Then we are interested in the following problem.

ET: Eliminate top extensions

Given $f \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e)$; **find** a Σ -pair $(f', g) \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_r) \times \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e)$ for f where r is minimal, i.e., eliminate as many extensions in f' as possible. In particular, choose $f' = 0$, if possible.

In particular, we are interested in the following application: Let \mathbb{F} be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -field where all the maximal nested sums and products are the t_i 's and all less nested sums and products are in \mathbb{F} . Then solving *ET* enables one to decide constructively if there is a Σ -pair (f', g) for f where f' is less nested than f .

Example 6.1. (Cont. Example 2.5) Given f from Example 2.5 we compute with Algorithm 3.1 the Σ -pair $(f_2, g_2) = (\frac{6H^{(2)}(k+1)^3+3k+4}{3(k+1)^3}, -\frac{1}{3}H(H^2 - 3(H^{(2)}k+1)H + 3H^{(2)}(2k+1)))$ for f . Since we managed to eliminate the extension H from the non-summable part f_2 , we apply Algorithm 3.1 to f_2 and get as result the Σ -pair $(f_1, g_1) = (-\frac{6k^2+9k+2}{3(k+1)^3}, 2H^{(2)}k)$. Finally, we apply Algorithm 3.1 to f_1 and get the Σ -pair $(-\frac{6k^2+9k+2}{3(k+1)^3}, 0)$, i.e., f_1 cannot be simplified further in the degree (of the numerator or denominator). Combining all the steps by using Lemma 2.1.2 we obtain the Σ -pair $(f_1, g_1 + g_2) = (-\frac{6k^2+9k+2}{3(k+1)^3}, -\frac{H^3}{3} + (H^{(2)}k+1)H^2 - (2kH^{(2)} + H^{(2)})H + 2H^{(2)}k)$ for f . Finally, by using Lemma 2.1.3 we change the Σ -pair for f to $(\sigma^{-1}(f'), \sigma^{-1}(f') + g)$, see also Example 4.5. This result is used in Example 2.5.

As illustrated in the previous example, we can attack problem *ET* by running Algorithm 3.1 recursively and using Lemma 2.1.2. More precisely, we propose the following algorithm.

Algorithm 6.1. `EliminateExtensions` $((\mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e), \sigma), f)$

Input: A $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension $(\mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e), \sigma)$ over \mathbb{F} with $e \geq 1$ where we can solve problems *PLDE* and *SEF* for all extensions t_i . $f \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e)$.

Output: A solution of problem *ET*.

- (1) If $e = 0$, decide constructively, if there is a $g \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\sigma(g) - g = f$. If yes, RETURN $(0, g)$, otherwise RETURN $(f, 0)$.
- (2) Decide constructively, if there is a Σ -pair $(f', g) \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_{e-1}) \times \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e)$ for f .
- (3) If no, THEN RETURN (f', g) . Otherwise, take such an (f', g) .
- (4) Compute $(\phi, \gamma) := \text{EliminateExtensions}((\mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_{e-1}), \sigma), f')$ and RETURN $(\phi, g + \gamma)$.

In order to prove the correctness of Algorithm 6.1, we need the following Lemma; see [Kar81, Thm. 24] or [Sch01, Prop. 4.1.3].

Lemma 6.1. *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) . Let $g \in \mathbb{F}(t)$ with $\sigma(g) - g \in \mathbb{F}$. If $\frac{\sigma(t)}{t} \in \mathbb{F}$, then $g \in \mathbb{F}$. Otherwise, $g = ct + w$ for some $c \in \text{const}_\sigma \mathbb{F}$ and $w \in \mathbb{F}$.*

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e), \sigma)$ be a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension over \mathbb{F} ($e \geq 1$) where one can solve problems *PLDE* and *SEF* for all extensions t_i . Then Algorithm 6.1 solves problem *ET*.*

Proof. If $e = 0$, the output is correct. Now suppose that Algorithm 6.1 works correct for $e - 1$ extensions with $e > 1$. Consider $\mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e)$ with $\sigma(t_i) = a_i t_i + b_i$ where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}$. Let $(F, G) \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_r) \times \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e)$ be a Σ -pair for f where r is minimal. If $r = e$, we return the correct result in step (3) by Corollary 3.1. Now suppose that $r < e$. Hence, by Corollary 3.1 we can compute a Σ -pair (f', g) for f with $f' \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_{e-1})$ and $g \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e)$. Thus, $\sigma(h) - (h) = f' - F$, where $h := G - g \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e)$. Note that $f' - F \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_{e-1})$. Now suppose that t_e is a Π -extension. By Lemma 6.1, $h \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_{e-1})$. Hence, $(F, h) \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_r) \times \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_{e-1})$ is a Σ -pair for f' . Otherwise, suppose that t_e is a Σ^* -extension. By Lemma 6.1, $h = ct + w$ for some $w \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_{e-1})$ and $c \in \text{const}_\sigma \mathbb{F}$.

Hence $\sigma(w) - w + (F + ca_e) = f'$, i.e., $(F + ca_e, w) \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_r) \times \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_{e-1})$ is a Σ -pair for f' . By the induction assumption Algorithm 6.1 computes a Σ -pair $(\phi, \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_r) \times \mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_{e-1})$ for f' . By Lemma 2.1.2, $(\phi, \gamma + g)$ is a Σ -pair for f . \square

Concerning Algorithm 6.1 the following remarks are in place:

- (1) Step (2) of Algorithm 6.1 can be accomplished by Algorithm 3.1, i.e., by the function call $(f', g) := \text{RefinedTelescoping}(\mathbb{F}(t_1) \dots (t_e), \sigma, f)$; see Example 6.1. In particular, if one fails to eliminate the extension t_e from f' , one obtains a Σ -pair (f', g) where the degrees in t_e are optimal. Hence we can combine problems *RT* and *ET*.
- (2) We can improve the computation in step (2): Since we only have to eliminate the extension t_e , if possible, but we do not have to decide, if $f' = 0$ is possible, we can avoid unnecessary computations in Algorithm 3.1. More precisely, in Sub-algorithm 4.1 we can quit the do-loop when $r = 0$; in Sub-algorithm 4.2 we can quit the while-loop when $\deg(f') = 0$.
- (3) The proposed algorithm might fail to find a sum extension where the depth is optimal. E.g., starting with the left-hand side of (29) we find the first simplification in

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{H_k}{k^2} = n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_k}{k^2} - \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{H_k(k+1)}{k^2} = n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_k}{k^2} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{H_k}{k^2} + \frac{1}{2}(H_n^2 + H_n^{(2)}) \right). \quad (29)$$

But our algorithm fails to find $H_n^{(2)}$ in order to simplify $\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{H_k(k+1)}{k^2}$ further. Here we would need in addition the sum $\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{H_k(k+1)}{k^2}$ which we dropped in the reduction; see step (4) in Algorithm 6.1. In [Sch04c, Sch05b] this problem can be handled properly by using a rather complicated machinery.

7. SIMPLIFICATION OF Σ^* -EXTENSIONS

By [Kar81] there is the following result concerning the construction of Σ^* -extensions.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ be a difference field extension of (\mathbb{F}, σ) with $\sigma(t) = t + f$ where $f \in \mathbb{F}$. Then this is a Σ^* -extension iff there is no $g \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\sigma(g) - g = f$.*

This result provides a constructive theory to represent sums, like

$$S(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(k),$$

in $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -fields. More precisely, suppose that $f(k)$ can be written in a $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -field, say (\mathbb{F}, σ) with $f \in \mathbb{F}$; for typical examples see Section 2. Two cases can occur: **(1)** One finds a $g \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\sigma(g) - g = f$. Then reconstruct from g a sequence $g(k)$ with $g(k+1) - g(k) = f(k)$ and derive, with some mild extra-conditions, the closed form $S(n) = g(n+1) - g(1)$. In particular, the sum $S(n)$ can be expressed by $t := \sigma(g) + c \in \mathbb{F}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{K}$ ($c = g(1)$) with

$$\sigma(t) = t + \sigma(f); \quad (30)$$

this reflects the shift behavior $S(n+1) = S(n) + f(n+1)$.

(2) One shows that there is no $g \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\sigma(g) - g = f$. Then by Theorem 7.1 adjoin the sum $S(n)$ formally in form of the Σ^* -extension $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ with (30).

Our refined telescoping methods enable one to construct refined Σ^* -extensions. In general, suppose there is no $g \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\sigma(g) - g = f$ and let (f', g) be any Σ -pair for f . Then there is no $h \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\sigma(h) - h = f'$; otherwise, we would have $\sigma(g+h) - (g+h) = f$ for $g+h \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence, by Theorem 7.1 we can construct the Σ^* -extension $(\mathbb{F}(s), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(s) = s + \sigma(f')$. Moreover, for $T := s + \sigma(g) + c$ with some $c \in \mathbb{K}$ we have $\sigma(T) = \sigma(s) + \sigma^2(g) + c = s + \sigma(f') + \sigma^2(g) + c = s + \sigma(g) + c + \sigma(f) = T + \sigma(f)$, i.e., $\sigma(T) = T + \sigma(f)$. Thus, we can represent $S(n)$ by $T \in \mathbb{F}(s)$.

Remark. Note that the Σ^* -extensions $(\mathbb{F}(t), \sigma)$ and $(\mathbb{F}(s), \sigma)$ from above are isomorphic by the difference field isomorphism $\tau : \mathbb{F}(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(s)$ with $\tau(f) = f$ for all $f \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\tau(t) = s + \sigma(g) + d$; $d \in \mathbb{K}$ is arbitrary, but fixed.

Summarizing, if we compute the Σ -pair (f', g) with Algorithms 3.1 or 6.1 we can get better Σ^* -extensions to represent the sum $S(n)$.

Example 7.1. (Cont. Example 2.6) Since there is no $g \in \mathbb{Q}(k)$ with $\sigma(g) - g = f$ for $f = \frac{k+1}{k(k+2)}$, we can construct the Σ^* -extension $\mathbb{Q}(k)(t)$ with $\sigma(t) = t + \frac{k+2}{(k+1)(k+3)}$ and can represent the sum $S(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k^2+1}{k(k+1)(k+2)}$ by t . Given the Σ -pair $(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{2k+1}{2k(k+1)})$ from Example 2.6, we can represent the sum $S(n)$ with $T := H + \frac{2k+3}{2(k+1)(k+2)}$ in the Σ^* -extension $(\mathbb{Q}(k)(H), \sigma)$ with $\sigma(H) = H + \frac{1}{k+1}$. We get the difference field isomorphism $\tau : \mathbb{Q}(k)(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(k)(H)$ given by $\tau(t) = H + \frac{6k+2}{2(k+1)(k+2)} - \frac{7}{4}$. This is exactly reflected by the identity (11).

8. CONCLUSION

We developed algorithms that can express a given sum in terms of a sum $\sum f'(k)$ where $f'(k)$ is degree-optimal.

Here we restricted so far to the domain of $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -fields. More generally, one can apply the underlying reductions also to difference rings, see Example 2.4. Here further investigations are necessary, in particular, one needs more general algorithms for problems *PLDE* and *SEF*; some first steps can be found in [Sch01]. Note that our algorithms can be applied for more general difference fields described in [KS06b, KS06a]

Carrying over Paule's greatest factorial factorization [Pau95] (the discrete analogue of greatest squarefree factorization) to the $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -case might give further theoretical insight to our algorithmic results. Some steps in this direction can be found in [PR97, BP99].

Following [Pir95] one might refine our algorithms further: given $f(k)$, find $f'(k)$ and $g(k)$ with (2) where among all the degree optimal $f'(k)$ also $g(k)$ is "optimal"; see Remarks 4.1 and 5.2. Special cases have been considered in [AP02, ALP03] for the hypergeometric case.

We presented a simple algorithm in Section 6 that computes, if possible, a summand $f'(k)$ which is less nested than $f(k)$. More general, but also more complicated, algorithms have been proposed in [Sch04c, Sch05b] which find depth-optimal $f'(k)$, see e.g. identity (29). Using results from this article might simplify these general algorithms.

REFERENCES

- [Abr71] S. A. Abramov. On the summation of rational functions. *Zh. vychisl. mat. Fiz.*, 11:1071–1074, 1971.
- [Abr75] S.A. Abramov. The rational component of the solution of a first-order linear recurrence relation with a rational right-hand side. *U.S.S.R. Comput. Maths. Math. Phys.*, 15:216–221, 1975. Transl. from *Zh. vychisl. mat. mat. fiz.* 15, pp. 1035–1039, 1975.
- [ALP03] S.A. Abramov, H.Q. Le, and M. Petkovšek. Rational canonical forms and efficient representations of hypergeometric terms. In J.R. Sendra, editor, *Proc. ISSAC'03*, pages 7–14. ACM Press, 2003.
- [AP94] S. A. Abramov and M. Petkovšek. D'Alembertian solutions of linear differential and difference equations. In J. von zur Gathen, editor, *Proc. ISSAC'94*, pages 169–174. ACM Press, Baltimore, 1994.
- [AP99] G. E. Andrews and P. Paule. MacMahon's partition analysis IV: Hypergeometric multisums. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, B42i:1–24, 1999.
- [AP02] S. Abramov and M. Petkovšek. Rational normal forms and minimal decompositions of hypergeometric terms. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 33(5):521–543, 2002.
- [BP99] A. Bauer and M. Petkovšek. Multibasic and mixed hypergeometric Gosper-type algorithms. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 28(4–5):711–736, 1999.
- [Bro00] M. Bronstein. On solutions of linear ordinary difference equations in their coefficient field. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 29(6):841–877, June 2000.
- [DPSW05] K. Driver, H. Prodinger, C. Schneider, and A. Weideman. Padé approximations to the logarithm III: Alternative methods and additional results. *To appear in Ramanujan Journal*, 2005.

- [GKP94] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik. *Concrete Mathematics: a foundation for computer science*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 2nd edition, 1994.
- [Gos78] R. W. Gosper. Decision procedures for indefinite hypergeometric summation. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 75:40–42, 1978.
- [HS99] P.A. Hendriks and M.F. Singer. Solving difference equations in finite terms. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 27(3):239–259, 1999.
- [Kar81] M. Karr. Summation in finite terms. *J. ACM*, 28:305–350, 1981.
- [Koo93] T.H. Koorwinder. On Zeilberger’s algorithm and its q -analogue. *J. Comp. Appl. Math.*, 48:91–111, 1993.
- [KS06a] M. Kauers and C. Schneider. Indefinite Summation with Unspecified Summands. *To appear in Discrete Math.*, 2006.
- [KS06b] Manuel Kauers and Carsten Schneider. Application of unspecified sequences in symbolic summation. In *Proceedings of ISSAC’06*, 2006.
- [Pau95] P. Paule. Greatest factorial factorization and symbolic summation. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 20(3):235–268, 1995.
- [Pir95] R. Pirastu. Algorithms for indefinite summation of rational functions in maple. *The Maple Technical Newsletter*, 2(1):1–12, 1995.
- [PR97] P. Paule and A. Riese. A Mathematica q -analogue of Zeilberger’s algorithm based on an algebraically motivated approach to q -hypergeometric telescoping. In M. Ismail and M. Rahman, editors, *Special Functions, q -Series and Related Topics*, volume 14, pages 179–210. Fields Institute Toronto, AMS, 1997.
- [PS95] P. Paule and M. Schorn. A Mathematica version of Zeilberger’s algorithm for proving binomial coefficient identities. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 20(5-6):673–698, 1995.
- [PS03] P. Paule and C. Schneider. Computer proofs of a new family of harmonic number identities. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 31(2):359–378, 2003.
- [Sch01] C. Schneider. Symbolic summation in difference fields. Technical Report 01-17, RISC-Linz, J. Kepler University, November 2001. PhD Thesis.
- [Sch04a] C. Schneider. A collection of denominator bounds to solve parameterized linear difference equations in $\Pi\Sigma$ -extensions. In D. Petcu, V. Negru, D. Zaharie, and T. Jebelean, editors, *Proc. SYNASC04, 6th Internat. Symposium on Symbolic and Numeric Algorithms for Scientific Computation*, pages 269–282, Timisoara (Romania), September 2004. Mirton Publishing. ISBN 973-661-441-7.
- [Sch04b] C. Schneider. The summation package Sigma: Underlying principles and a rhombus tiling application. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 6(2):365–386, 2004.
- [Sch04c] C. Schneider. Symbolic summation with single-nested sum extensions. In J. Gutierrez, editor, *Proc. ISSAC’04*, pages 282–289. ACM Press, 2004.
- [Sch05a] C. Schneider. Degree bounds to find polynomial solutions of parameterized linear difference equations in $\Pi\Sigma$ -fields. *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput.*, 16(1):1–32, 2005.
- [Sch05b] C. Schneider. Finding telescopers with minimal depth for indefinite nested sum and product expressions. In M. Kauers, editor, *Proc. ISSAC’05*, pages 285–292. ACM, 2005.
- [Sch05c] C. Schneider. Product representations in $\Pi\Sigma$ -fields. *Annals of Combinatorics*, 9(1):75–99, 2005.
- [Sch05d] C. Schneider. Solving parameterized linear difference equations in terms of indefinite nested sums and products. *J. Differ. Equations Appl.*, 11(9):799–821, 2005.
- [Win96] F. Winkler. *Polynomial Algorithms in Computer Algebra*. Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation. Springer, Wien, 1996.
- [Zha99] Z. Zhang. A kind of binomial identity. *Discrete Math.*, 196(1-3):291–298, 1999.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR SYMBOLIC COMPUTATION, J. KEPLER UNIVERSITY LINZ, A-4040 LINZ, AUSTRIA
E-mail address: Carsten.Schneider@risc.uni-linz.ac.at